

# On K3 surfaces defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ — Correction to the paper [1] in vol.43 (1994)

Tetsuji Shioda

**1.** In a private correspondence, Matthias Schuett has pointed out a mistake in my paper [1]. Namely it is in the proof of Theorem 1 which states that, for any K3 surface defined over the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Picard number cannot attain the maximum value  $h^{1,1} = 20$ . Moreover he has given an explicit example of a K3 surface with  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Picard number 20, by using a K3 surface with maximal singular fibre studied in my recent paper [2]. According to Klaus Hulek, he and Verrill have found other example of such, too.

Thus “Theorem 1” of [1] should be cancelled. Also “Corollary 3” of that paper should be cancelled which asserted that, for any elliptic curve  $E/\mathbf{Q}(t)$  arising from an elliptic K3 surface, the Mordell-Weil rank of  $E(\mathbf{Q}(t))$  is less than 18. As a consequence, “Question 2” (which motivated that paper) is still open: Does there exist an elliptic K3 surface defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $E/\mathbf{Q}(t)$  has rank 18 ( $E$  being the generic fibre)?

**2.** What was wrong with the proof of Theorem 1 in [1]?

We argued as follows. Assume there is a K3 surface, say  $X$ , over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Picard number 20. The reduction  $X(p)$  of  $X$  modulo  $p$  is a K3 surface over the prime field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  such that  $\rho(X(p)/\mathbf{F}_p) \geq 20$  for almost all  $p$ . Over the algebraic closure  $k_p$  of  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , the Picard number  $\rho(X(p)) = \rho(X(p)/k_p)$  is known to be either 20 or 22.

The gap in the proof was precisely at the point where we overlooked the possibility for  $\rho(X(p)/\mathbf{F}_p) = 21$ , as pointed out by Schuett and Hulek. As a matter of fact, the proof there implies that  $\rho(X(p)/\mathbf{F}_p) = 21$  occurs for an infinitely many prime  $p$ , instead of leading to a contradiction as claimed in the paper [1].

**3.** It should be remarked that the corresponding proof is correct, if we replace a K3 by an abelian surface. Namely we have

**Proposition 1** *For any abelian surface  $A$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Picard number cannot attain the maximum value  $h^{1,1}(A) = 4$ , i.e.  $\rho(A/\mathbf{Q}) < 4$ .*

*Proof* Arguing as above, we claim that the possibility  $\rho(A(p)/\mathbf{F}_p) = 5$  cannot occur. Indeed, it would imply in terms of the standard notation (cf. [1])

$$P_2(A(p)/\mathbf{F}_p, T) = (1 - pT)^5(1 + pT). \quad (1)$$

But this is impossible, because  $H^2 \cong \Lambda^2(H^1)$  together with Frobenius action holds for the étale cohomology of  $A(p)/k_p$ . *q.e.d.*

**Remark** In the case of a K3 surface  $X$  as in **2**, on the other hand, we have

$$P_2(X(p)/\mathbf{F}_p, T) = (1 - pT)^{21}(1 + pT). \quad (2)$$

for infinitely many  $p$ . In particular, this gives an example of the sign change in the functional equation for  $P_2(T) = P_2(X(p)/\mathbf{F}_p, T)$ :

$$P_2\left(\frac{1}{p^2T}\right) = -\frac{1}{(pT)^{b_2}} P_2(T). \quad (3)$$

At any rate, it seems that the mistake is caused by very delicate difference between K3 surfaces and abelian surfaces even in the “singular” case ( $\rho = h^{1,1}$ ) where their structure is very closely related (cf. [3]).

4. Let us mention the related work of Shafarevich [4] who has formulated a very interesting finiteness conjecture:

**Conjecture 2** *For all K3 surfaces over a given number field, their Néron-Severi lattice  $\text{NS}(X)$  belong to a finite set of isomorphism classes.*

He has proven this for singular K3 (and abelian) surfaces in a stronger sense:

**Theorem 3** *There is only a finite number of isomorphism classes of singular K3 surfaces over the complex number field which is defined over a number field of given degree.*

In particular, it follows that the number of isomorphism classes of singular K3 surfaces defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is finite, and so is the number of those with  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Picard number 20. It will be an interesting question to determine these numbers.

Finally I would like to thank M. Schuett and K. Hulek for pointing out my error in their nice communications, and T. Katsura for useful discussion.

## References

- [1] Shioda, T.: On the rank of elliptic curves over  $\mathbf{Q}(t)$  arising from K3 surfaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* 43, 117–120 (1994).
- [2] — : The elliptic K3 surfaces with a maximal singular fibre, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 336, 461–466 (2003).
- [3] Inose, H., Shioda, T.: On singular K3 surfaces, in: *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry*, Iwanami Shoten and Cambridge Univ. Press, 119–136 (1977).
- [4] Shafarevich, I.R.: On the arithmetic of singular K3 surfaces, in: *Algebra and Analysis, Proc. Chebotarev Conf. in 1994 /Kazan*, Walter de Gruyter & Co., 103–108 (1996).

Department of Mathematics  
Rikkyo University  
3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501  
Japan  
(shioda@rkmath.rikkyo.ac.jp)